

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,787	02	/27/2004	Kenneth R. Ganther JR.	34521	9445
75	90	11/16/2005		EXAMINER	
Hovey Willian	ns LLP			LEDYNH	I, BOT L
Suite 400 2405 Grand Bly				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Kansas City, MO 64108			2862	<u> </u>	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/789,787	GANTHER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bot LeDynh	2862			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Se	eptember 2005.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 9-21 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,7,9,13-17 and 19-21 is/are rejecte Claim(s) 4, 10-12, and 18 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
			Bot Ledynh			
Attachment		. —	Primary Examiner			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	PTO-413) te			
3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/789,787

Art Unit: 2862

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 13-17, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ganther, Jr. et al. (6420868) in view of Hirano et al. (6498483). Ganther Jr. et al discloses substantially the same invention as claimed, except for the FLL not being an unmodulated FLL. Hirano et al discloses a FLL in an unmodulation mode in order to provide a device and a method for adjusting a working point of a non-modulation type SQUID which improve precision of SQUID adjustment and enable automatic adjustment. See col.2 lines 60-64. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ganther Jr et al by employing an unmodulated FLL in order to provide a device and a method for adjusting a working point of a non-modulation type SQUID which improve precision of SQUID adjustment and enable automatic adjustment.

Claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 13-17, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ganther, Jr. et al. (6448767) in view of Hirano et al. (6498483).

Ganther Jr. et al discloses substantially the same invention as claimed, except for the FLL not being an unmodulated FLL (see Fig.1). Hirano et al discloses a FLL in an

Application/Control Number: 10/789,787

Art Unit: 2862

unmodulation mode in order to provide a device and a method for adjusting a working point of a non-modulation type SQUID which improve precision of SQUID adjustment and enable automatic adjustment. See col.2 lines 60-64. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ganther Jr et al by employing an unmodulated FLL in order to provide a device and a method for adjusting a working point of a non-modulation type SQUID which improve precision of SQUID adjustment and enable automatic adjustment.

Although specific columns, figures, reference numerals, lines of the reference(s), etc. have been referred to, Applicant should consider the entire applied prior art reference(s).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 10-12, and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 5-6 are allowed.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 9/13/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that (1) Ganther only discloses the use of a FLL in cryogenic environments, and (2) Hirano fails to disclose the use of an unmodulated FLL in non-cryogenic environments.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,787 Page 4

Art Unit: 2862

;

As to (1), Ganther discloses the use of a FLL in cryogenic environments (see reference numeral 14 in Fig.1). As to (2), Applicant argues against the references individually; and one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). (See also the motivation in the rejection, *supra*). Other Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the allowable subject matter.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Bot LeDynh whose telephone number is 5712722231. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 5712722180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,787

Art Unit: 2862

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BL/ 2005

Bot LeDynh, J.D., Ph.D., D.A.

Page 5

Primary Examiner